From the People of Victoria to the Victorian Government: 17 Oct. 2020

Like in all other parts of the Western world, Victoria’s pandemic plan did not include lockdowns, curfews and mandatory mask mandates outdoors. Never did mankind go hysterical and lose its common sense in the manner it has done this time around. All principles of good policy, and all laws have been cast aside.

We want the Victorian government to immediately abandon its illegal lockdowns and its entirely unjustified “public health” measures (for which no scientific reason has ever been published) and revert to Victoria’s approved 10 March 2020 pandemic plan which is consistent with Victoria’s laws and charter of human rights.

HOW BIG IS THIS PANDEMIC?

Our body has been fine-tuned through evolution to live with and to build strong immunity to defeat viruses. Even in the worst respiratory disease pandemic of the last 100 years, the Spanish flu of 1918-19, did not wipe out our species. In that pandemic 972 out of every 1000 of us survived – without any vaccine.

All respiratory pandemics are self-limiting due to the natural process known as herd immunity which degrades viruses into seasonal infections. Herd immunity (not the same as eradication) is achieved at fairly low levels of infection for flu-like respiratory viruses. No flu-like pandemic has infected more than a third of the global population. That is because of low viral load (limited ability to transmit in ordinary human interactions) and high levels of pre-existing immunity in humans.

Scientists keep forgetting these two basic facts about such pandemics. Just 10 years ago, they made the same blunders they are making during this pandemic, by imagining a grossly exaggerated risk from Swine flu. Afterwards, the WHO and CDC realised that we have significant “pre-existing immunity to the virus”. Most of us have many types of immunity to this particular coronavirus, such as cross-reactivity from common cold.

Gross over-estimates are typically made at the beginning of all pandemics by emotionally unstable “scientists”. One of these, Anthony Fauci, told the Governor of South Dakota that she will need 10,000 hospital beds for covid patients. The maximum she ever needed (without imposing lockdowns) was 200. Neil Ferguson, another “boy who cried wolf”, predicted over 95,000 deaths in Sweden without lockdowns. Sweden did not listen to him, had no lockdowns and have so far had 6,000 covid deaths. And except for a very short period in a couple of countries initially, ICU capacity has remained grossly under-utilised all over the world.

Victoria has 695 ICU beds but only a tiny fraction has been ever needed.

We must not downplay the lethality of this pandemic but, thankfully, it is not a Spanish flu which would have killed 210 million over two years if it occurred today. Instead, this pandemic is in the range of the 1957 Asian flu or 1969 Hong Kong flu which saw between 2-4 million deaths globally. After one year, a little over 1 million (of them, mostly over 80) out of 7,594 million on Earth have reportedly died “from” or “with” COVID-19 to date. That is around 15 out of 100,000 compared with around 9 out of 100,000 who die ordinarily each year from seasonal flu which the media does not even notice. Further, around 60 million people die ordinarily from all other causes, each year. So, we have seen a week of additional deaths due to COVID-19 this year.

PANDEMICS ARE AN ACT OF NATURE, LOCKDOWNS ARE AN ACT OF MAN

An Act of Nature (e.g. death from coronavirus) is very different form an Act of Man (e.g. death due to lockdowns). Governments are not authorised – by analogy – to burn down additional homes and kill unaffected people in order to save those who might be at risk of being engulfed in a bushfire. The Hippocratic Oath states: First do no harm. Government policy cannot actively and knowingly take additional lives.

That is why Victoria’s laws (Public Health and Wellbeing Act and Charter of Human Rights) and Victoria’s approved Pandemic Plan of 10 March 2020 did not include illegal policies like lockdowns that are causing
colossal physical and mental harm. Victoria’s plan did not have 23-hour, 5-kilometre lockdowns, 9-hour curfews, mandatory masks outdoors (without any justification), or locking down on the pretext of a vaccine.

Instead, Victoria’s pandemic plan was about proportionality. It “focused on protecting vulnerable Victorians” and stated that “older Victorians and people with chronic diseases are known to be at greater risk of COVID-19 infection”, therefore it would “ramp up risk reduction activity [for] at-risk groups”.

But by about 20 March 2020, the Victorian government abandoned its 10 March 2020 focus on the elderly, thereby allowing hundreds of the elderly to die in Victoria. Instead, it ordered the imprisonment of over 99.9% of Victoria’s population, most of whom would never have experienced any adverse consequence from covid.

LOCKDOWNS ARE NOT QUARANTINE BUT IMPRISONMENT

Lockdowns cannot be legally considered to be quarantine and therefore are not an approved public health intervention. They are being imposed on people who display no symptoms and without any scientifically justified duration. Quarantines are known to be extremely harmful so they are required to be extremely short and limited. Science shows that even short quarantines can cause including post-traumatic stress and other disorders. A seven month “quarantine” is not only unheard of in any science literature, it is not possible to justify such measures in any way under any law, whether health or otherwise.

LOCKDOWNS ARE PROHIBITED BY SCIENCE AND W.H.O.

Lockdowns are rejected outright (hence prohibited) by the science. They are also prohibited by the WHO’s own 2019 guidelines. That is why they do not form part of any approved pandemic plan anywhere in the world. They provide no benefit, only impose costs – not just financial but on the human mind and body.

LOCKDOWNS BREACH THE NUREMBERG CODE

Since there is no peer-reviewed published science paper or any internationally agreed recommendation for lockdowns as a way to kill a respiratory virus, lockdowns are at best an experimental “preventative health intervention”. But since this experiment applies to humans, they are a human science experiment and require ethics approval which was never obtained by the Victorian government and would never have been provided anyway, since lockdowns breach the Nuremberg Code.

LOCKDOWNS ARE CAUSING EXTREMELY SEVERE HARM

Cries of pain are pouring in from all over Victoria, particularly from Melbourne. To call this a mental health issue doesn’t cut it. It is mass-scale torture. “This doesn’t feel human”, is what people are saying. And this is only the tip of widespread physical and mental harms that cannot even be fathomed by any human brain.

Attorney Dr. Reiner Fuellmich is preparing a lawsuit against the WHO for crimes against humanity. Dr Scott Atlas, the science adviser to President Donald Trump recently considers that lockdowns could be called a crime against humanity. Article 7 of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court deals with “serious injury to body or to mental or physical health” caused by “widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population”. Lockdowns – which do not fit any definition in Victoria’s health laws – appear to fit this definition much better.

WHAT WE WANT – RIGHT NOW!

We want the Victorian Government to immediately lift the lockdown, open borders and impose well-reasoned science-backed, risk-based and proportionate measures consistent with Victoria’s law and the 10 March 2020 Pandemic Plan. This does not mean “letting it rip” but following the actual science and the laws.